



Assessment and Learner Modeling Working Group

Durlach, Greer, Kay, Lane,
McCalla

VISION: MY Lifelong Learning Companion

- Knows what I know
- Knows my experiences and achievements
- Knows what I want/need to know
- Understands how I learn best
- Understands how I prefer to learn
- Finds learning opportunities for me
- Appreciates my time constraints
- Communicates with other agents to arrange opportunities for me



Questions: MY Lifelong Learning Companion

- Keeps all raw data it can?
- Can safeguard my privacy?
- Is for my benefit or for my employer's benefit?
- Is it just a sophisticated LMS?
- Does it attend training with me and coach me based on what it knows about me? Or hand off that information to a more domain specific tutor?
- How do we standardize format so that it could communicate to domain-level tutors?
- Does it model my knowledge based on principles from learning science (e.g., forgetting?)



1. What are the most salient findings and/or results that feed most directly into the ILCC vision? What do we know now?

- When we have good expert domain models (e.g., some educational domains)
 - Assessing and Modeling student skills
- When we have a closed or constrained system
 - Tracking changes in student abilities/mastery
- Assessing certain student characteristics, which may impact their learning (e.g., vision, hearing, disabilities)
- Strategies
 - Keep as much raw data as possible over time—for later interpretation
 - Use consensus data for assessment, when there are no good domain/expert models

2a. What ongoing research are you aware of that holds the greatest potential for promoting lifelong learning?

- Are there student traits we ought to represent/use to influence what/how content is presented?
 - what is the payoff? – learning gains vs. learner satisfaction
 - How stable are these traits really?
- How to model and assess student states such as affect/motivation
- Which characteristics are states vs. traits and how to model their effects, when to reassess? E.g., -- memory span—and applying established learning science principles to infer best training practices tailored on an individual basis
- Using Latent Semantic Analysis of writing samples to assess student's expertise in a domain.

2b. What ongoing research are you aware of that holds the greatest potential for promoting lifelong learning?

- Modeling team/collaborative processes/behaviors/tendencies and choosing interventions to improve skills
 - Potential of social network tools (e.g., Facebook, forums, communities of practice) to get data→better understanding
- Potential of E-Portforlios
 - Document student learning over a long period of time
 - Use to assess the training student has received (evaluation of the instruction)
 - Tracking competencies rather than grades

3a. What are the key unknowns and open questions?

- How to track change/model student effectively: across domains and long term.
 - Getting the data in from many different sources, not all digital
 - Reduce current emphasis on exams as primary arbiter of achievement
 - How to make every teacher a researcher—i.e. use and contribute to learner models
- How do we represent group enculturation and how do we accelerate it?
- How to develop tools to analyze large raw and heterogeneous data sets to
 - Develop student type models
- How to deal with privacy and security issues
 - Allow user editing for purpose-based sharing? Then what about authenticity?
 - Your own learning companion should have ability to safeguard you; how can that be implemented?

3b. What are the key unknowns and open questions? (more generally)

- Interoperability: how to manage interchange of the learner model between applications, humans?
- Privacy and security from the foundation of all aspects
- Granularity in modern LMSs and generally links between conventional e-learning systems and a learner model
- Would a “learning science” for social learning be the same or different from the “learning science” for individual learning?